Dynamic problems of rate-and-state friction in viscoelasticity

Elias Pipping

Freie Universität Berlin

10th of December 2014



Experimental background



Figure: System response to jump in velocity (after steady-state sliding)

Rate-and-state friction

Widely used law

$$\mu(V,\theta) = \mu_* + a \log \frac{V}{V_*} + b \log \frac{\theta V_*}{L}, \quad \dot{\theta}(\theta,V) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{\theta V}{L} & \text{ageing law} \\ -\frac{\theta V}{L} \log \frac{\theta V}{L} & \text{slip law} \end{cases}$$

Transformation: $\alpha = \log(\theta V_*/L)$

$$\mu(V,\alpha) = \mu_* + a \log \frac{V}{V_*} + b\alpha, \qquad \dot{\alpha}(\alpha, V) = \begin{cases} \frac{V_* e^{-\alpha} - V}{L} \\ -\frac{V}{L} (\log \frac{V}{V_*} + \alpha) \end{cases}$$

General setting

- μ is monotone in V for fixed α
- μ is Lipschitz with respect to α (but not θ)
- (unlike θ), α follows a gradient flow for fixed V.
- (ideally): $\dot{\alpha}$ is Lipschitz with respect to V.

A typical continuum mechanical problem



With prescribed $\mathbf{u}(0)$, $\dot{\mathbf{u}}(0)$, and $\alpha(0)$.

$$\sigma(\mathbf{u}) = \mathcal{B}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) + \mathcal{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\mathbf{u}})$$

div $\sigma(\mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{b} = \rho \ddot{\mathbf{u}}$
 $\dot{\mathbf{u}}_n = 0$
 $\sigma_t = -\lambda \dot{\mathbf{u}}, \quad \lambda = \frac{|\sigma_t|}{|\dot{\mathbf{u}}|} = \frac{|s_n|\mu(|\dot{\mathbf{u}}|, \alpha)}{|\dot{\mathbf{u}}|}$
...
 $\dot{\alpha} = \dot{\alpha}(|\dot{\mathbf{u}}|, \alpha)$

(linear viscoelasticity)

- (momentum balance)
- on Γ_C (bilateral contact)¹

on
$$\Gamma_C$$
 with $\lambda = 0$ for $\dot{\mathbf{u}} = 0$

on $\Gamma_{N,D}$

in Ω

in Ω

on Γ_C (family of ODEs)

with $s_n \approx \sigma_n$, constant in time¹.

 $^1 {\sf Inherited}$ from the rate-and-state friction model

Weak formulation

We get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \rho \ddot{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{v} - \dot{\mathbf{u}}) + \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{B}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\dot{\mathbf{u}}) \colon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v} - \dot{\mathbf{u}}) + \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \colon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v} - \dot{\mathbf{u}}) + \int_{\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}} \phi(\mathbf{v}, \alpha) \\ \geq \int_{\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}} \phi(\dot{\mathbf{u}}, \alpha) + \ell(\mathbf{v} - \dot{\mathbf{u}}) \end{split}$$

for every $\boldsymbol{v}\in\mathcal{H}$ with

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ \mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega)^d \colon \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_D, \, \mathbf{v}_n = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_C \}$$

or briefly

$$0\in M\ddot{f u}+C\dot{f u}+Af u+\partial\Phi(\,\cdot\,,lpha)(\dot{f u})-m\ell\subset \mathcal{H}^*$$

and

$$\dot{\alpha} = \dot{\alpha}(|\dot{\mathbf{u}}|, \alpha)$$
 a.e. on Γ_C

Time discretisation

Turn

$$0 \in M\ddot{\mathbf{u}} + C\dot{\mathbf{u}} + A\mathbf{u} + \partial\Phi(\cdot, \alpha)(\dot{\mathbf{u}}) - \ell, \qquad \dot{\alpha} = \dot{\alpha}(|\dot{\mathbf{u}}|, \alpha)$$

into

$$0 \in M\ddot{\mathbf{u}}_n + C\dot{\mathbf{u}}_n + A\mathbf{u}_n + \partial\Phi(\cdot, \alpha_n)(\dot{\mathbf{u}}_n) - \ell_n, \qquad \dot{\alpha} = \dot{\alpha}(|\dot{\mathbf{u}}_n|, \alpha)$$

and then (using a time discretisation scheme/solving the ODEs)

$$0 \in (M_n + C + A_n)\dot{\mathbf{u}}_n + \partial \Phi(\cdot, \alpha_n)(\dot{\mathbf{u}}_n) - \tilde{\ell}_n \qquad \alpha_n = \Psi_{|\dot{\mathbf{u}}_n|}(\alpha_{n-1})$$

 \rightsquigarrow A coupling of

- 1 a convex minimisation problem
- a family of ordinary differential equations (one-dimensional gradient flows)

The big picture



• **Q**: Does *T* have a fixed point?

A: Yes, by Banach's/Schauder's fixed point theorem theorem

- **Q**: Is it unique?
 - A: Yes/Maybe (depending on the law)
- Q: Does Tⁿv always converge to a fixed point?
 A: Yes/Maybe (depending on the law)

Application: a simplified subduction zone



The lower plate moves at a prescribed velocity while the right end of the wedge is held fixed.

Numerical stability: Number of fixed point iterations





Comparison with laboratory data



Recurrence time and rupture width are well reproduced.

Peak slip is off by a factor of approximately 6. The error thus lies within an order of magnitude.

Further reading



E. Pipping, O. Sander and R. Kornhuber. "Variational formulation of rate- and state-dependent friction problems". In: *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics* (2013). ISSN: 1521-4001. DOI: 10.1002/zamm.201300062.